Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# I. Wharf<sup>a</sup>\* and Francine Bélanger-Gariépy<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Otto Maass Chemistry Building, 801 Sherbrooke St. West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2K6, and <sup>b</sup>Département de Chimie, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7

Correspondence e-mail: ivor.wharf@mcgill.ca

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å R factor = 0.038 wR factor = 0.099 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The title compound,  $[Sn(C_8H_9)_4]$ , with Sn located at a site of symmetry  $\overline{4}$ , crystallizes close-packed in the same tetragonal space group ( $P\overline{4}2_1c$ ) as tetraphenyltin. Both molecules may be considered quasi-spherical.

Tetrakis(3,5-dimethylphenyl)tin(IV)

Received 11 July 2003 Accepted 22 July 2003 Online 31 July 2003

### Comment

Tetraaryl group 14 derivatives have long been used as models to test various paradigms describing the rules by which molecules are assembled in periodic ordered arrays, i.e. crystal packing (Lloyd & Brock, 1997). Much earlier, Kitaigorodskii (1961) had shown that symmetrical  $Ar_4M$  molecules prefer to crystallize in tetragonal space groups, with molecules having  $\overline{4}$ symmetry. Moreover, such quasi-spherical molecules should use certain space groups allowing close packing,  $P\overline{4}2_1c$ ,  $I\overline{4}$  and  $P4_2/n$ . Pertinent examples are:  $(C_6H_5)_4$ Sn in  $P\overline{4}2_1c$  (Engelhardt et al., 1982), and (p-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>Sn (Karapides & Wolfe, 1975) and  $(p-CH_3OC_6H_4)_4Sn$  (Wharf & Simard, 1987) in  $I\overline{4}$ . In addition, he predicted that, as para-substituents became larger and more obtrusive, the packing effectiveness of these tetragonal space groups would decrease, resulting in the use of less symmetric space groups, with the molecules losing  $\overline{4}$  symmetry. Such is the case for  $(p-CH_3CH_2OC_6H_4)_4$ Sn in  $P2_1/c$  (Wharf & Simard, 1991) and (p-CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>Sn in C2/c (Wharf & Lebuis, 2000).



The effects of *meta-* and *ortho-*substituents on crystal packing have received less attention. Thus,  $(o-CH_3C_6H_4)_4$ Sn is isomorphous with  $(C_6H_5)_4$ Sn (Belsky *et al.*, 1983), but (*m*-CH\_3C\_6H\_4)\_4Sn crystallizes in the non-close-packing tetragonal space group,  $I4_1/a$  (Karipides & Oertel, 1977). Here, the title compound, (I), has been investigated to determine the effect of two methyl groups in the *meta-*positions.

Compound (I) crystallizes in the same space group  $(P\overline{4}2_1c)$  as the unsubstituted phenyl analogue. The geometry around tin, which lies on a site of symmetry  $\overline{4}$ , is almost tetrahedral (Table 1 and Fig. 1), while the packing (Fig. 2) is the same as in  $(C_6H_5)_4$ Sn, with the *exo-m*-CH<sub>3</sub> groups assisting the translational quadruple phenyl embrace (Dance & Scudder, 1996). The effect of the *endo-m*-CH<sub>3</sub> groups is to keep the closely

Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography



#### Figure 1

View of the molecule perpendicular to the  $\overline{4}$  axis. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



#### Figure 2

Packing diagram for the title compound, viewed along the a axis. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

packed columns parallel to the c axis slightly further apart than in tetraphenyltin.

The relationship of molecular shape and crystal design is normally discussed in terms of the asymmetry of the molecules, enabling them to pack in a complementary fashion (Brock & Dunitz, 1994), thus fulfilling Kitaigorodskii's Principle of Close Packing (1961). In an earlier era, possible crystal structures were predicted on the basis of the best closepacking of spheres and ellipsoids (Kitaigorodskii, 1945; Nowacki, 1948). Thus (m-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>4</sub>Sn, with all meta-methyl groups exo, is somewhat ellipsoidal (quasi-prolate spheroid) and will not pack in the same manner as the quasi-spherical  $(C_6H_5)_4$ Sn. In (I), with both *exo* and *endo* methyl groups, the

molecule is more spherical and the crystal packing can now revert to that of  $(C_6H_5)_4$ Sn. We also note that for (p- $XC_6H_4_4Sn [X = (CH_3)_3C]$ , the large umbrella-like parasubstituents render this molecule quasi-spherical and it also crystallizes in a close-packing tetragonal space group,  $P4_2/n$ (Wharf & Lebuis, 2000).

# **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared earlier (Wharf & Simard, 1997). Suitable crystals were obtained from ethanol.

# Crystal data

$[Sn(C_8H_9)_4]$
$M_r = 539.30$
Tetragonal, $P\overline{4}2_1c$
a = 13.4000 (19)Å
c = 8.2000 (16)  Å
V = 1472.4 (4) Å <sup>3</sup>
Z = 2
$D_x = 1.216 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$\begin{aligned} & \text{Actuation of } 12^{-1} \text{ and } 13^{-1} \text{ and } $

### Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: by integration (ABSORP in NRCVAX; Gabe et al., 1989)  $T_{\min} = 0.289, \ T_{\max} = 0.659$ 20587 measured reflections 1390 independent reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$  $wR(F^2) = 0.099$ S = 1.191390 reflections 78 parameters H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0637P)^2]$ + 0.1298P] where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 17.0 - 20.0^{\circ}$  $\mu = 7.00 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) KNeedle, colourless  $0.53 \times 0.11 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$ 

Cu Ka radiation

1182 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.071$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 70.0^{\circ}$  $h = -16 \rightarrow 16$  $k = -16 \rightarrow 16$  $l = -9 \rightarrow 9$ 5 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: 1.6%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.58 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.42 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: SHELXL96 Extinction coefficient: 0.0055 (6) Absolute structure: Flack (1983). 581 Friedel pairs Flack parameter = -0.01 (3)

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Sn-C1	2.134 (5)		
$C1^{i}-Sn-C1$ $C1^{ii}-Sn-C1$ $C6-C1-C2$	109.6 (2) 109.40 (12) 117.6 (5)	C6-C1-Sn C2-C1-Sn	121.7 (3) 120.8 (4)
$C1^{i}$ -Sn-C1-C6 $C1^{ii}$ -Sn-C1-C6 $C1^{iii}$ -Sn-C1-C6 $C1^{i}$ -Sn-C1-C2	53.4 (4) -66.5 (3) 173.4 (4) -128.2 (4)	$C1^{ii}$ -Sn-C1-C2 $C1^{iii}$ -Sn-C1-C2 Sn-C1-C2-C3 Sn-C1-C6-C5	111.9 (5) -8.2 (4) -179.4 (3) 178.9 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, z; (ii) y, 1 - x, -z; (iii) 1 - y, x, -z.

H atoms were constrained to the parent site using a riding model; C-H = 0.93–0.96 Å. The  $U_{iso}$  values were set to  $1.5U_{eq}$  of the parent atom for methyl H atoms and  $1.2U_{eq}$  for other H atoms. A final verification of possible voids was performed using the VOID routine of the PLATON program (Spek, 1995).

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: CAD-4 Software; data reduction: NRC-2 and NRC-2A (Ahmed et al., 1973); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*96 (Sheldrick, 1996); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*96.

Financial support from the Fonds FCAR du Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- Ahmed, F. R., Hall, S. R., Pippy, M. E. & Huber, C. P. (1973). J. Appl. Cryst. 6, 309–346.
- Belsky, V. K., Simonenko, A. A., Riekhsfeld, V. O. & Saratov, I. E. (1983). J. Organomet. Chem. 244, 125–128.
- Brock, C. P. & Dunitz, J. D. (1994). Chem. Mater. 6, 1118-1127.
- Bruker (1997). SHELXTL. Release 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dance, I. & Scudder, M. (1996). Chem. Eur. J. 2, 481-486.
- Engelhardt, L. M., Leung, L.-P., Raston, C. L. & White, A. H. (1982). Aust. J. Chem. 35, 2383–2384.

- Enraf–Nonius (1989). *CAD-4 Software*. Version 5.0. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Karipides, A. & Oertel, M. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 683-687.
- Karipides, A. & Wolfe, K. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 605-608.
- Kitaigorodskii, A. I. (1945). J. Phys. (USSR), 9, 351-352; Chem. Abstr. 40, 3958.
- Kitaigorodskii, A. I. (1961). Organic Chemistry Crystallography. New York: Consultants Bureau.
- Lloyd, M. A. & Brock, C. A. (1997). Acta Cryst. B53, 780-786.
- Nowacki, W. (1948). Schweiz. Min. Petr. Mitt. 28, 502–508; Chem. Abstr. 42, 7595.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SHELXL96. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1995). PLATON. July 1995 Version. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Wharf, T. & Lebuis, A.-M. (2000). Main Group Metal Chem. 23, 497-503.
- Wharf, I. & Simard, M. G. (1987). J. Organomet. Chem. 332, 85-94.
- Wharf, I. & Simard, M. G. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1314-1315.